BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:))	
Phillip Pierre-Louis, M.D.)	File No. D2-1997-75630
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 42426))	
Respondent)))	

DECISION

The attached **Stipulated Surrender of License and Order** is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on <u>January 24</u>, 2005

IT IS SO ORDERED December 23, 2004

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

3y:

Ronald L. Moy, M.D.,

Chair

Panel B

Division of Medical Quality

1 2 3	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California SAMUEL K. HAMMOND, State Bar No. 141135 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
4	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
5	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
6	Telephone: (619) 645-2083 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
10	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation & Petition to Revoke Probation Against:	Case No. D2-1997-75630
12	PHILLIP PIERRE-LOUIS, M.D.	OAH No. L-2004080015
13	2322 College Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92407	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER
14	,	
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 42426	
16	Respondent.	
17 18		·
19	IT IS HERERY STIPLII ATED AND	ACDEED by and between the parties in this
20	TI IS TIEMED I STILL CENTED IN STILLED OF WHAT STILLED FINANCE IN COLUMN	
	proceeding that the following matters are true:	AGREED by and between the parties in this
21	proceeding that the following matters are true: PARTIE	
21	PARTIE	
	PARTIE	es nant) is the Executive Director of the Medical
22	PARTIE 1. David T. Thornton (Complain	annt) is the Executive Director of the Medical has official capacity and is represented in
22 23	PARTIE 1. David T. Thornton (Complain Board of California. He brought this action solely in	annt) is the Executive Director of the Medical has official capacity and is represented in
222324	PARTIE 1. David T. Thornton (Complain Board of California. He brought this action solely in this matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the Deputy Attorney General.	annt) is the Executive Director of the Medical has official capacity and is represented in
22232425	PARTIE 1. David T. Thornton (Complain Board of California. He brought this action solely in this matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the Deputy Attorney General.	escapanant) is the Executive Director of the Medical in his official capacity and is represented in State of California, by Samuel K. Hammond, M.D. (Respondent) is representing himself in
2223242526	PARTIE 1. David T. Thornton (Complain Board of California. He brought this action solely in this matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the Deputy Attorney General. 2. PHILLIP PIERRE-LOUIS, M.	escapanant) is the Executive Director of the Medical in his official capacity and is represented in State of California, by Samuel K. Hammond, M.D. (Respondent) is representing himself in

1 P 3 C 4 b

6 | May 31,

R

22 | 23 |

3. On or about January 6, 1986, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 42426 to PHILLIP PIERRE-LOUIS, M.D. (Respondent). The Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation & Petition to Revoke Probation No. D2-1997-75630 and will expire on May 31, 2005, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation & Petition to Revoke Probation No. D2-1997-75630 was filed before the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California (Division), and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation & Petition to Revoke Probation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 24, 2004. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation & Petition to Revoke Probation. A copy of Accusation & Petition to Revoke Probation No. D2-1997-75630 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference. On May 26, 2004, an Interim Suspension Order (ISO) was issued suspending Respondent from the practice of medicine. The ISO was issued, in part, because Respondent failed to fulfill the terms and conditions of the probation granted in Case No. D1-1997-75630. The ISO is still in effect.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation & Petition to Revoke Probation No. D2-1997-75630. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation & Petition to Revoke Probation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

Į.	
1	7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up
2	each and every right set forth above.
3	<u>CULPABILITY</u>
4	8. Respondent presently suffers from depression and sleep apnea and is
5	unable to fulfill the terms and conditions of the probation granted in Case No. D1-1997-75630.
6	In order to avoid the expense and uncertainty of a hearing, respondent hereby surrenders
7	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A42426.
8	9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the
9	Division to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
10	without further process.
11	<u>CONTINGENCY</u>
12	10. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated
13	Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force
14	and effect as the originals.
15.	11. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties
16	agree that the Division may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the
17	following Order:
18	<u>ORDER</u>
19	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
20	No. A 42426, issued to Respondent PHILLIP PIERRE-LOUIS, M.D. is surrendered and
21	accepted by the Division.
22	12. The surrender of Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate and
23	the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of
24	discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall
25	become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.
26	13. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a physician and surgeon
27	in California as of the effective date of the Division's Decision and Order.
28	

the effective date of the Division's Decision and Order.

11/29/2004

14. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board both his wall and pocket Certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.

5

4

3

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

DATED:

19 20

20

21

22

23

III

24 ///

25 | 77

26 | /// 27 | //

28 ///

15. Respondent understands and agrees that if he ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation & Petition to Revoke Probation No. D2-1997-75630 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Division determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition. Respondent also understands and agrees that said application for relicensure or petition for reinstatement shall be filed at least two (2) years from

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Division.

PHILLIP PIERRE-LOUIS MID

PHULLIP PIERRE-L Respondent

DOJ Matter ID: SD2004800452 80043486.wpd

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: 11/29/04

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit A Accusation & Petition to Revoke Probation No. D2-1997-75630

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 1 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General SACBAMENTO 77 101124, 2004 of the State of California 2 SAMUEL K. HAMMOND, State Bar No. 141135 Deputy Attorney General 3 California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 4 San Diego, CA 92101 5 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 6 Telephone: (619) 645-2083 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 **BEFORE THE** 9 THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 10 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS In the Matter of the Accusation & Petition to 11 Case No. D2-1997-75630 Revoke Probation Against: 12 **ACCUSATION** PHILIP PIERRE-LOUIS, M.D. AND PETITION TO REVOKE 13 5700 Lochmoor Drive, Apt. #44 **PROBATION** Riverside, CA 92507 14 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 15 42426 16 Respondent. 17 18 Complainant alleges: 19 **PARTIES** 20 1. David T. Thornton (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Interim Executive Director of the Medical Board of California. 21 22 On or about January 6, 1986, the Medical Board of California issued 2. Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 42426 to PHILIP PIERRE-LOUIS, M.D. 23 (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times 24 25 relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2005, unless renewed. In a Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, Department 26 27 of Consumer Affairs (Division) in Case No. 06-97-75630 effective March 31, 1999, Respondent's certificate was revoked, but the revocation was stayed and the certification was 28

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

7. Section 2264 of the Code states:

"The employing, directly or indirectly, the aiding, or the abetting of any unlicenced person or any suspended, revoked, or unlicenced practitioner to engage in the practice of medicine or any other mode of treating the sick or afflicted which requires a license to practice constitutes unprofessional conduct."

- 8. Section 475(a)(3) of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Medical Board of California (Board) shall may deny the application for licensure to any applicant commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
- 9. Section 480(a)(2) states, in pertinent part that the Board may deny the application for license to any applicant has done any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
- 10. Section 14124.12 of the Welfare and Institutions Code states, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) Upon receipt of written notice from the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, or the Board of Dental Examiners of California, that a licensee's license has been placed on probation as a result of a disciplinary action, the department may not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation, including any dental surgery or invasive procedure, that was performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of probation and until the termination of all probationary terms and conditions or until the probationary period has ended, whichever occurs first. This section shall apply except in any case in which the relevant licensing board determines that compelling circumstances warrant the continued reimbursement during the probationary period of any Medi-Cal claim, including any claim for dental services, as so described. In such a case, the

department shall continue to reimburse the licensee for all procedures, except for those invasive or surgical procedures for which the licensee was placed on probation."

11. This Accusation and Petition to Revoke probation are made in reference to the Division's Decision and Order in Case No. D1-1997-57630, effective December 1, 2003, by which Respondent's physician and surgeon's certificate was placed on probation with certain terms and conditions. The pertinent terms and conditions pertinent to the petition to revoke probation are as follows:

A. <u>TERM AND CONDITION "2"</u> provided:

"CLINICAL TRAINING PROGRAM Respondent shall satisfactorily complete the assessment portion of the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program (PACE) offered at the University of San Diego - San Diego School of Medicine ("Program"), on November 12-13, 2003."

The Program shall consist of a Comprehensive Assessment program comprised of a two-day assessment of Respondent's mental and physical health; basic clinical and communication skills common to all clinicians; medical knowledge, skill and judgment pertaining to Respondent's specialty or sub-specialty, and at a minimum, a 40 hour program of clinical education in the area of practice in which Respondent was alleged to be deficient and which takes into account data obtained from the assessment, Decision(s), Accusation(s), any other information that the Division or its designee deems relevant. Respondent shall pay all costs associated with the clinical training program.

Based on Respondent's performance and test results in the assessment and clinical education, the Program will advise the Division or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, treatment for any medical condition, treatment for any psychological condition, or any thing else affecting Respondent's practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations.

At the completion of any additional educational or clinical training, Respondent shall submit to and pass an examination. The Program's determination whether or not Respondent passed the examination or successfully completed the Program shall be binding.

Respondent shall complete the educational and/or training program recommended by the PACE program at the first time it is offered following completion of his PACE assessment in November 2003. The failure to complete either, or both, of these prongs of the PACE program on the designated dates is a violation of probation in the absence of a division approved excuse.

If Respondent fails to complete the clinical training program within the designated time period, Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine within 72 hours of being notified by the Division or its designee that Respondent failed to complete the training program."

B. <u>TERM AND CONDITION "5"</u> provided:

"OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in compliance with all court ordered criminal probation, payment, and other orders."

CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE AND REVOCATION OF PROBATION

- 12. Respondent Philip Pierre-Louis, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action and his probation is subject to revocation for unprofessional conduct in violation of Code sections 2234(d), 2234(e), Code section 475(a)(3) in conjunction with Code section 2234(f), Code section 480(a)(2) in conjunction with Code section 2234(f), and is subject to revocation of probation as follows:
- A. On November 12-13, 2003, Respondent took and completed the assessment portion of the PACE program. The results of the assessment indicate Respondent lacks the knowledge, training and clinical judgment to practice medicine with safety to the public.
- B. Beginning at some unknown time and continuing onto April 2, 2004, Respondent employed an unlicensed person to work as a physician assistant at Respondent's clinic located on 3402 Glendale Boulevard in Los Angeles.
- C. Respondent's Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) certificate expired in March 2001. On or about January 22, 2004 and March 8, 2004, Respondent wrote two prescriptions which were filled under Respondent's expired DEA certificate.

28 ///

///

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action and his probation is subject to revocation under section 2234(d) in that the results of his assessment by the PACE assessment demonstrate he lacks the knowledge, training and clinical judgment to practice medicine with safety to the public as alleged in paragraph 12, above.
- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action and his probation is subject to revocation under section 2234(e) in that he wrote two prescriptions by use of his expired DEA certificate as alleged in paragraph 12, above.
- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action and his probation is subject to revocation under sections 475(a)(3) and 480(a)(2) in conjunction with Code section 2234(f) in that he wrote two prescriptions by use of his expired DEA certificate as alleged in paragraph 12, above.
- 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action and his probation is subject to revocation under section 2264 in that he employed, directly or indirectly, aided and abetted the unlicensed practice of medicine as alleged in paragraph 12, above.
- 17. The probation granted Respondent in Case No. D1-1997-75630 is subject to revocation in that Respondent violated provisions of the Medical Practice Act and violated laws and regulations pertaining the practice of medicine, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 12, above.
- 18. To determine the degree of the penalty order to be imposed in this case, complainant further alleges that on May 8, 1998, the Division filed Accusation No. 06-97-75630 against Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 46426. In a Division's Decision and Order effective March 31, 1999, Respondent's certificate was revoked, but the revocation was stayed and the certificate was placed on four (4) years probation under specified terms and condition, including the condition Respondent complete the PACE program within 120 days of the effective date of the decision. A certified copy each of the Accusation and Decision and Order in Case No. 06-97-75630 are attached as Attachment 1.

1 **PRAYER** WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein 2 alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division issue a decision: 3 4 Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 1. 42426, issued to PHILIP PIERRE-LOUIS, M.D. 5 Revoking, suspending or denying approval of PHILIP PIERRE-LOUIS's 6 2. authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code; 7 8 Ordering PHILIP PIERRE-LOUIS to pay the Division the reasonable costs 3. of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on probation, the costs of 9 10 probation monitoring; Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 11 4. DATED: May 24, 2004 12 13 14 15 Interim Executive Director 16 Medical Board of California State of California 17 Complainant 18 SD2004800452 19 PIERRE-LOUIS.ACC&PET TO REV 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)))
PHILIP B. PIERRE-LOUIS, M.D. Certificate No. A-42426) No.: 06-97-75630)
Responder) nt.))

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 31, 1999

IT IS SO ORDERED March 1, 1999

y: Carole HURVITZ, M.D.

Chair - Panel B

Division of Medical Quality

```
BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General
         of the State of California
    GLORIA A. BARRIOS (State Bar No. 94811)
2
         Deputy Attorney General
    California Department of Justice
    300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212
    Los Angeles, California 90013-1233
4
    Telephone: (213) 897-8854
5
    Attorneys for Complainant
6
                                BEFORE THE
 7
                        DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
                       MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
 8
                     DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
                            STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 9
10
                                            Case No. 06-97-75630
    In the Matter of the Accusation
11
    Against:
                                             OAH No. L-1998080355
12
    PHILIP B. PIERRE-LOUIS, M.D.,
                                             STIPULATED SETTLEMENT
    11288 Vista Lane
13
                                                  AND
    El Monte, California
                                             DISCIPLINARY ORDER
14
    91731
    Physician and Surgeon's Certificate
15
    No. A-42426,
16
                         Respondent.
17
18
              IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the
19
    parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following
20
    matters are true:
21
                    An Accusation in case number 06-97-75630 was filed
22
    with the Division of Medical Quality, of the Medical Board of
23
    California Department of Consumer Affairs (the "Division") on May
24
    8, 1998, and is currently pending against Philip B. Pierre-Louis,
25
    M.D. (the "respondent").
26
27
```

required documents, was duly served on the respondent on or about
July 8, 1998, and respondent filed his Notice of Defense

2.

contesting the Accusation on or about July 22, 1998. A copy of Accusation in case no. 06-97-75630 is attached as Exhibit "A" and hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

The Accusation together with all statutorily

- 3. Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California and brought this action solely in his official capacity. The Complainant is represented by the Attorney General of California, Bill Lockyer, by and through Deputy Attorney General Gloria A. Barrios.
- 4. At all times relevant herein, respondent has been licensed by the Medical Board of California under Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. A-42426.
- 5. Respondent is represented in this matter by Russell Iungerich, whose address is 3580 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1920, Los Angeles, California 90010-2520.
- 6. Respondent and his attorney have fully read and discussed the charges contained in the Accusation in case no. 06-97-75630. Respondent has been fully advised regarding his legal rights and the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, the charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician and Surgeon's Certificate.

 Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the

- 8. Respondent knowingly, voluntarily and irrevocably waives and gives up each of these rights.
- 9. For the purpose of resolving Accusation case no. 06-97-75630 without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, respondent agrees that, at a hearing, complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 10. Compelling circumstances exist that warrant continued Medi-Cal reimbursement during the probationary period.
- a. A high percentage of respondent's practice is treatment of indigent patients under the Medi-Cal program.
- b. Respondent will not perform any Medi-Cal reimbursed abortions.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his license is subject to discipline pursuant to section 2234 of the Code, as set forth above in paragraph 3B. Respondent agrees to be bound by the Division's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Order below.
 - 12. Based on the foregoing admissions and stipulated

matters, the parties agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following order:

4

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician and Surgeon's

5 6

7

Certificate number A-42426 issued to Philip B. Pierre-Louis, M.D., is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for 4 years on the following

8

terms and conditions. Within 15 days after the effective date of

10

this decision the respondent shall provide the Division, or its designee, proof of service that respondent has served a true copy

12

11

of this decision on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive

13

Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are

14

extended to respondent or where respondent is employed to practice medicine and on the Chief Executive Officer at every

15 16.

insurance carrier where malpractice insurance coverage is

PACE PROGRAM

17

extended to respondent.

18

Take and pass the PACE Program or any other clinical program and a medical records/charting course

20

19

if not covered by PACE at the discretion of the Division.

21 22

Respondent shall begin the program no sooner than 60 days and no later than 120 days from the effective date of this Agreement.

23

2. Respondent shall obey all federal, **OBEY ALL LAWS** state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of

24 25

medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any

26

court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.

27

///

4.

Ω

 3. QUARTERLY REPORTS Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

4. PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his business and residence addresses which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

- PHYSICIAN(S) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- PRACTICE In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any

period of time exceeding thirty (30) days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

- 7. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 9. COSTRECOVERY The respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Division the amount of \$5,000.00 within two years of the effective date of this decision for its investigative and prosecution costs. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent

of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.

associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, which are currently set at \$2,304.00 but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical Quality and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor at the beginning of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 days of the due date shall constitute a violation of probation.

this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will not longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

<u>CONTINGENCY</u>

This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division of Medical Quality. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent or his counsel. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation

1	no its Onders the stimulation 1 11 1 5 5
	as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it
2	shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties,
3	and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action in
4	this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.
5	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>
6	I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and
7	Disciplinary Order. I have fully discussed the terms and
8	conditions and other matters contained therein with my attorney,
9	Russell Iungerich. I understand the effect this Stipulated
10	Settlement and Disciplinary Order will have on my Physician and
11	Surgeon's Certificate, and agree to be bound thereby. I enter
12	this stipulation freely, knowingly, intelligently and
13	voluntarily.
14	DATED: 1 26 199
15	
16	Philip Krine- bris
17	PHILIP B/ PIERRE-LOUIS, M.D. Respondent
18	
19	I have read and fully discussed the terms and
20	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated
21	Settlement and Disciplinary Order with respondent Philip B.
22	Pierre-Louis, M.D., and approve of its form and content.
23	DATED: 1 26 99
24	
25	Russell Jungerich
26	Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for the consideration of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs. DATED: BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit: Accusation

1	The second of th	
2	William Brancios, STATE BAR NO. 94811	
3	I addition Department of Dustice	
4	300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212 FILED	
5	Telephone: (213) 897-8854 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
6	Attorneys for Complainant SACRAMENTO My 8 19 28 BY ANALYST	
7	BYMIALIST	
8	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY	
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) NO. 06-97-75630 Against:	
12)	
.13	PHILIP B. PIERRE-LOUIS, M.D.) ACCUSATION	
14	El Monte, Ca. 91731	
15	Physician and Surgeon's (Certificate No. A 42426)	
16	Respondent.)	
17)	
18	The Complete 11	
19	The Complainant alleges:	
20	<u>PARTIES</u>	
	1. Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director	
21	of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter the "Board") and	
22	brings this accusation solely in his official capacity.	
23	2. On or about January 6, 1986, Physician and	
24	Surgeon's Certificate No. A 42426 was issued by the Board to	
25	Philip B. Pierre-Louis, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"), and at	
26	all times relevant to the charges brought herein, this license	
27	has been in full force and effect. Unless renewed, it will	

the division.

division.

"(4)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Have any other action taken in relation

"(3) Be placed on probation upon order of

Be publicly reprimanded by the

to discipline as the division or an administrative

2.

law judge may deem proper.

- "(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board."
- B. Section 2234 of the Code provides:

 "The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

.

- "(b) Gross negligence.
- ·
- "(d) Incompetence.

C. Section 2266 of the Code provides:

"The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

- D. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay the Board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
 - E. Section 16.01 of the 1997/98 Budget Act of the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

State of California provides, in pertinent part, that:

(a) no funds appropriated by this act may be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any service performed by a physician while that physician's license is under suspension or revocation due to a disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California; and

(b) no funds appropriated by this act may be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any surgical service or other invasive procedure performed on any Medical-Cal beneficiary by a physician if that physician has been placed on probation due to a disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California related to the performance of that specific service or procedure on any patient, except in any case where the board makes a determination during its disciplinary process that there exist compelling circumstances that warrant continued Medi-Cal reimbursement during the probationary period.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Gross Negligence)

Respondent Philip B. Pierre-Louis, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Business and Professions Code in that he committed gross negligence in the care, treatment and management of patient O.J.<u>1</u>/ The circumstances are as follows:

25

4.

All patient references in this pleading are by 26 initials only. The true names of the patient shall be revealed to respondent upon his request for discovery pursuant to 27 Government Code section 11507.6.

- A. On or about April 25, 1997, patient Q.J., then

 17 years of age, went to the Venice Western Medical Group

 (Venice) for an abortion. The patient's history was taken;

 the patient was given a physical. Arrangements for patient

 Q.J. to obtain an abortion were made.
- B. On or about May 1, 1997, patient Q.J. went to Los Angeles Metropolitan Medical Center (LAMMC) for an abortion to be performed by respondent, pursuant to the arrangements made at Venice. At LAMMC a nurse took patient Q.A.'s blood pressure. Patient Q.J. was anesthetized. Patient Q.A. was approximately 14-15 weeks gestation.
- C. Respondent began to perform an abortion on patient Q.J. by dilation and curettage. During the procedure, respondent perforated patient Q.J.'s uterus. Respondent also injured approximately three to five feet of patient Q.J.'s intestine. Respondent had to summon another physician to perform corrective surgery and complete the abortion on patient Q.J. Patient Q.J. had to remain at LAMMC for 12 days, postoperatively, with at least nine days in intensive care.
- D. Respondent deviated from the standard of care including, but not limited to, the following;
 - (1) Failed to obtain a preoperative patient consent;
 - (2) Failed to give patient a preoperative physical;
 - (3) Failed to obtain a preoperative history;

1	(4) Failed to assess the size and position
2	of patient Q.J.'s uterus;
3	(5) Perforated patient's uterus;
4	(6) Used surgical forceps;
5	(7) Pulled out patient's intestines; and
6	(8) Failed to dictate an operative and post
7	operative reports.
8	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
9	(Incompetence)
LO	5. Respondent Philip B. Pierre-Louis, M.D., is subject
L1	to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (d) of the
L2	Business and Professions Code in that he was incompetent in the
13	care, treatment and management of patient Q.J. The circumstances
14	are as follows:
15	A. Complainant hereby incorporates by reference
16	paragraphs 4, A through D.
17	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
18	(Failure to Keep Adequate Records)
۱9	6. Respondent Philip B. Pierre-Louis, M.D., is subject
20	to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the Business and
21	Professions Code in that he failed to keep adequate and accurate
22	records relating to the provision of his medical services to
23	patient Q.J. The circumstances are as follows:
24	A. Complainant hereby incorporates by reference
25	paragraphs 4, A through D.
26	///
27	/ /·/ .

Taking such other and further action as the Division deems necessary and proper. DATED: May 8, 1998 Executive Director Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant